

Latin

SAT Subject Tests™

Answer Explanations to Practice Questions
from Getting Ready for the SAT Subject Tests

Visit the SAT® student website to
get more practice and study tips
for the **Subject Test in Latin**.

SAT® Subject Test in Latin

In this document, you will find detailed answer explanations to all of the Latin practice questions from *Getting Ready for the SAT Subject Tests*. By reviewing these answer explanations, you can familiarize yourself with the types of questions on the test and learn your strengths and weaknesses. The estimated difficulty level is based on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 the easiest and 5 the most difficult.

To find out more about the SAT Subject Tests, visit us at SATSubjectTests.org.

1. Difficulty: 3

Choice (D) is correct. The masculine noun *senātus* belongs to the fourth declension, whose dative singular ending is *-ī* for masculine and feminine nouns. Choice (D), *senātuī*, is therefore the correct answer. Choice (A), *senātū*, is ablative singular; choice (B), *senātum*, is accusative singular; and choice (C), *senātūs*, is genitive singular or nominative or accusative plural.

2. Difficulty: 4

Choice (A) is correct. *Capitis* is the second-person plural present indicative active form of the third conjugation *i*-stem verb *capiō*. The second-person plural present subjunctive passive of this verb is formed by adding the present subjunctive marker *-ā-* and then the second-person plural passive ending *-minī* to the stem *capi-*. The correct answer is therefore choice (A), *capiāminī*. Choice (B), *capiēminī*, is the future indicative form; choice (C), *caperēminī*, is the imperfect subjunctive form; and choice (D), *capiminī*, is the present indicative form.

3. Difficulty: 3

Choice (B) is correct. The English noun “transition,” which means “a passage between two states or conditions,” derives from the Latin noun *transitiō* (a crossing). *Transitiō* is itself compounded of the preposition *trans* (across) and the noun *itiō* (going), which derives in turn from *itum*, the perfect passive participle of the verb *īre* (to go). The word “transition” is not related by derivation or in meaning to choice (A), *sedēre* (to sit), to choice (C), *ferre* (to carry) or to choice (D), *esse* (to be).

4. Difficulty: 1

Choice (B) is correct. The English adjective “possible,” which means “able to exist or be done,” is derived from the Latin verb *possum*, which means “I am able.” The adjective is not related by derivation or in meaning to choice (A), *pōnō* (I place), choice (C), *possideō* (I possess) or choice (D), *poscō* (I demand).

5. Difficulty: 3

Choice (B) is correct. With a pluperfect subjunctive verb in both its conditional clause (*Sī vocāvissēs*) and its main clause (*laetī fuissēmus*), the sentence expresses a past contrary-to-fact condition. The underlined portion is therefore appropriately translated by the past perfect construction “If you had called,” which implies that the person addressed (you) did not in fact call. The underlined portion is not appropriately translated by the present contrary-to-fact conditional in choice (A), “If you were calling”; the present general conditional in choice (C), “If you are calling”; or the future-less-vivid conditional in choice (D), “If you should call.” The entire sentence may be translated as “If you had called, we would have been happy.”

6. Difficulty: 4

Choice (A) is correct. The sentence presents an indirect statement introduced by *Agricola dixit* (The farmer said). The underlined indirect statement has the reflexive pronoun *sē* (referring to *Agricola*) as its accusative subject, the noun *puellam* (girl) as its accusative direct object and the future infinitive *vīsūrum esse* (to be about to see) as its verb. The use of the masculine future active participle *vīsūrum* indicates that *sē*, not the feminine *puellam*, is the subject of the infinitive. The underlined portion of the sentence may therefore be translated as “that he would see the girl.” Choice (B) mistranslates the future infinitive *vīsūrum esse* as pluperfect (had seen); choice (C) mistranslates *puellam* as subject rather than object (the girl would see); and choice (D) mistranslates *sē* (referring to the singular *Agricola*) as plural (they). The entire sentence may be translated as “The farmer said that he would see the girl.”

7. Difficulty: 4

Choice (C) is correct. The verb of the sentence is the passive periphrastic *faciendum est* (must be made), indicating obligatory action. The verb's subject is the nominative singular noun *Iter* (journey), and the verb's agent is expressed by the dative noun *militibus* (soldiers). The sentence may therefore be translated into English by the passive construction "A journey must be made by the soldiers," or equivalently by the active construction in choice (C), "The soldiers must make a journey." Choices (A) and (D) mistranslate the passive periphrastic verb *faciendum est* as referring to completed action (was made, have made) rather than obligatory action. Choice (B) mistranslates the verb as referring to ongoing action (is being made) rather than obligatory action.

8. Difficulty: 3

Choice (D) is correct. Choice (D) appropriately provides an ablative of means construction, *saxō*, to complete the sentence. The correctly completed sentence may be translated as "The slave is wounded by means of a rock." Choice (A), the ablative of personal agent construction *ā saxō*, is inappropriate because *saxō* refers to an inanimate object (a rock) rather than a living creature. Choice (B), the accusative construction *saxum*, results in an ungrammatical sentence: the passive verb *vulnerātur* cannot take an accusative direct object. Choice (C), the ablative of accompaniment construction *cum saxō*, is inappropriate because it does not make sense to say that the stone accompanied the slave.

9. Difficulty: 4

Choice (B) is correct. Choice (B) appropriately provides the nominative plural relative pronoun *quī* to serve as subject of the plural verb *incolunt*. The antecedent of *quī* is unexpressed but understood to be a word like *virōs* (the men) or *eōs* (those, the ones), the implied direct object of *Vidistī*. The correctly completed sentence may be translated as "You have seen [those] who inhabit the fatherland." Choice (A), *quōs*, inappropriately provides an accusative plural relative pronoun; choice (C), *quem*, inappropriately provides an accusative singular relative pronoun; and choice (D), *cui*, inappropriately provides a dative singular relative pronoun. None of these choices fits grammatically with the words *patriam incolunt*.

10. Difficulty: 4

Choice (B) is correct. The original sentence may be translated as "The brave are always praised by the consul." The directions ask for the sentence that changes the meaning of the underlined sentence the least. The sentence that is closest in meaning is choice (B), *Consul fortēs semper laudat*. ("The consul always praises the brave.") Both sentences express the thought that the consul praises the brave, although the original sentence expresses it in a passive construction and choice (B) in an active construction. The other choices express different ideas: Choice (A) expresses the idea that the consul is always praised by the brave, choice (C) expresses the idea that the brave always praise the consul, and choice (D) expresses the idea that brave consuls are always praised.

11. Difficulty: 3

Choice (A) is correct. The original sentence is translated as "The citizens came to seek peace." The question asks which choice is closest in meaning to *ut pācem peterent* (to seek peace), expressing the purpose for which the citizens came. The choice that also expresses purpose is choice (A), *ad pācem petendam*. While the underlined portion of the original contains a purpose clause, choice (A) contains *ad* plus the gerundive, an alternate way of expressing purpose in Latin. Choice (B) is literally translated as "peace having been sought," choice (C) means "if peace were sought," and choice (D) means "after peace was sought." None of these choices express purpose.

12. Difficulty: 3

Choice (C) is correct. The question asks for a translation of the ablative construction *octāvō decimō annō*. One of the uses of the ablative in Latin is to express the time when something occurs; therefore an appropriate translation is choice (C), "in the eighteenth year." Choice (A), "for eighteen years," would have to be expressed by the accusative of extent of time, not the ablative; choice (B), "after eighteen years," would require the preposition *post* followed by the accusative; and choice (D), "throughout the eighteenth year," would require the preposition *per* and the accusative. Note that choices (A) and (B) mistranslate the ordinal *octāvō decimō* ("eighteenth") as cardinal ("eighteen") and the singular *annō* as plural.

13. Difficulty: 3

Choice (D) is correct. The first two sentences may be translated as "Therefore, when Marcus Antoninus had reigned well in the love of all, he died in the eighteenth year of his reign. After the funeral was celebrated, the senate and people said he was a god." The correct answer is therefore choice (D); the first two sentences tell us that Antoninus was proclaimed a god by the senate and people. The two sentences do not state that Antoninus arranged his own funeral before he died (A), that everyone celebrated Antoninus' funeral for eighteen days (B), or that a god told the senate and people to celebrate Antoninus' funeral (C).

14. Difficulty: 4

Choice (B) is correct. *Mox ... habēret* in lines 4–6 may be translated as “Soon there was no one who did not have his image in his house.” Choice (B) is correct; the reflexive possessive adjective *suā* (his) in *suā domō* (his house) refers to the subject of the sentence, *nēmō*. *Suā* does not refer to *deum* as in choice (A), *eius* (*ejus*) as in choice (C) or *imāginem* as in choice (D).

15. Difficulty: 3

Choice (D) is correct. The third sentence may be translated as “Soon there was no one who did not have his image in his house, and today statues of Marcus Antoninus stand among the household gods in many homes.” The correct answer is choice (D); the third sentence tells us that many houses had statues of Antoninus among the household gods. The third sentence does not state that Antoninus placed statues of the household gods in every home (A), that no one had a statue of Antoninus (B), or that many statues of Antoninus replaced the household gods (C).

16. Difficulty: 3

Choice (B) is correct. A perfect infinitive in indirect statement refers to a time prior to that referred to by the main verb. In this case, the main verb in the relative clause on which the indirect statement depends is *crēderent*, the imperfect subjunctive, a past tense that is translated as “believed.” The perfect infinitive *praedixisse* must therefore be translated as “had predicted.” It cannot be translated as “would predict” (A), “predicts” (C) or “will have predicted” (D).

17. Difficulty: 4

Choice (B) is correct. The last sentence may be translated as “Nor were men lacking who believed that he had predicted in dreams many things that were true.” Choice (B) is correct; the last sentence tells us that Marcus Antoninus was believed to prophesize in dreams. The last sentence does not state that people do not always believe their dreams (A), that Marcus Antoninus believed in the truth of many dreams (C) or that people predicted that many would believe their dreams (D).